

INFLUENZA BURDEN OF DIESEASE AND 2018/19 END-OF-SEASON INFLUENZA VACCINE EFFECTIVENESS ESTIMATES FOR PREVENTING INFLUENZA-ASSOCIATED HOSPITALIZATION AMONG CANADIAN ADULTS: AN UPDATE FROM THE CIRN SERIOUS OUTCOMES SURVEILLANCE (SOS) NETWORK

AUTHORS: SHELLY A MCNEIL¹, TODD HATCHETTE,¹ LINGYUN YE¹, ARDITH AMBROSE¹, MAY ELSHERIF¹, JASON LEBLANC¹, DONNA MACKINNON-CAMERON¹, GUY BOIVIN², KEVIN KATZ³, MARK LOEB⁴, ANNE E MCCARTHY⁵, JANET E MCELHANEY⁶, ALLISON MCGEER⁷, SYLVIE TROTTIER², LOUIS VALIQUETTE⁸, MELISSA K ANDREW¹ ON BEHALF OF THE CIRN SOS NETWORK INVESTIGATORS AND THE TORONTO INVASIVE BACTERIAL DISEASES NETWORK (TIBDN) INVESTIGATORS

¹Canadian Center for Vaccinology, IWK Health Centre and Nova Scotia Health Authority, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, CA; ²Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec, Québec, Québec, CA; ³North York General Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, CA; ⁴McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, CA; ⁵The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, Ontario, CA; ⁶Health Sciences North Research Institute, Sudbury, Ontario, CA; ⁸Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, CA;

Background

- The Canadian Immunization Research Network's (CIRN) Serious Outcomes Surveillance (SOS) Network conducts hospital-based laboratoryconfirmed influenza surveillance, enrolling adults (≥16 years), in Canada, and participates in the Global Influenza Hospital Surveillance Network (GIHSN)
- The 2018/19 season included adult academic and community hospital sites in Canadian Provinces (Nova Scotia, Ontario, and Quebec) representing ~5000 acute care beds
 Unique focus on measures of health relevant for older adults



Results

Table 2: Clinical characteristics of influenza positive cases and test-negative controls enrolled in the SOS Network, 2018-2019 season (Vaccine Effectiveness Cohort)

Characteristics	Cases (n=683)	Controls (n=821)	p value
Δ			0.004
Age			<0.001
16-49y	130 (19.0)	93 (11.3)	
50-64y	130 (19.0)	204 (24.8)	
65-75y	152 (22.3)	208 (25.3)	
>75 y	271 (39.7)	316 (38.5)	
Frailty (only ≥65y)			0.024
non-frail (CFS 1-3)	95 (22.5)	80 (15.3)	
pre-frail (CFS 4)	141 (33.3)	187 (35.7)	
mild frailty (CFS 5)	148 (35.0)	215 (41.0)	
mod-severe frailty (CFS 6-9)	13 (6.1)	20 (3.8)	
Male	304 (44.5)	386 (47.0)	0.35
≥1 comorbidity	625 (91.5)	778 (94.8)	0.013
Pregnant	32 (4.7)	4 (0.5)	<0.001
Smoker (past or current)	337 (49.3)	500 (60.9)	<0.001
Antiviral use prior to admission	6 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	0.009
Received 2018/19 influenza	310 (45.4)	480 (58.5)	<0.001
vaccine			
Admitted from long-term care	22 (3.2)	38 (4.6)	0.186
facility			
≥4 medications	457 (66.9)	625 (76.1)	<0.001
*Proportions are among patients with know	n information for that variable	e, missing values were excluded	

Methods

- ✓ Active surveillance for influenza infection in adults (≥16 years of age) was conducted October 28th, 2018 to June 1st, 2019
 - Nasopharyngeal (NP) swab obtained from all patients with an admitting diagnosis of pneumonia, exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, asthma, unexplained sepsis, any respiratory diagnosis or symptom
 - ✓ All NP swabs tested on-site for influenza A & B by PCR
 - ✓ Influenza typing and B lineage characterization performed at CIRN SOS Network Central Laboratory at the Canadian Center for Vaccinology in Halifax, NS
 - Other clinical and demographic information was also collected, including frailty (*clinical frailty scale)
 Clinical Frailty Scale*
- ✓ Influenza positive cases matched to influenzates in test negative controls by date of admission (within 14d of DOA of case), age stratum (≥ 65y or <65y) and site of enrolment to calculate vaccine effectiveness
 - (VE) using multivariable
- Very Fit People who are robust, active, energetic and motivated. These people commonly exercise regularly. They are among the fittest for their age.
 Well – People who have no active disease symptoms but are less fit than category 1. Often, they exercise or are very active occasionally, e.g. seasonally.

3 Managing Well – People whose medical problems are well controlled, but are not regularly active beyond routine walking.

4 Vulnerable – While not dependent on others for daily help, often symptoms limit activities. A common complaint is being "slowed up", and/or being tired during the day.

5 Mildly Frail – These people often have more evident slowing, and need help in high order IADLs (finances, transportation, heavy housework, medications). Typically, mild frailty progressively impairs shopping and walking outside alone, meal preparation and housework.

7 Severely Frail – Completely dependent for personal care, from whatever cause (physical or cognitive). Even so, they seem stable and not at high risk of dying (within ~ 6 months).

8 Very Severely Frail – Completely dependent, approaching the end of life. Typically, they could not recover even from a minor illness.

9 Terminally III - Approaching the end of life. This category applies to people with a life expectancy <6 months, who are not otherwise evidently frail.

Scoring frailty in people with dementia

The degree of frailty corresponds to the degree of dementia. Common **symptoms in mild dementia** include forgetting the details of a recent event, though still remembering the event itself,

Table 3: Outcomes of all influenza, influenza A, influenza B, controls, 2018/2019 season

Outcome	Controls %, N N = 821	All Influenza %, N N = 946	Influenza A %, N	Influenza B %, N
Admitted to ICU	11.4%, 94	14.5%, 137	15%, 131/873	8.2% 6/73
Mechanically Ventilated	4.8%, 39	8.7%, 82	6.6%, 41/618	1.5%, 1/65
Died during this admission	6.3%, 52	6.9%, 65	4.4%. 27/618	6.2%, 4/65

Table 4: Outcomes of influenza positive cases by frailty, 2018/2019 season

ED A II TV CATECODV

logistic regression

 $VE = 1 - OR \times 100\%$,

with 95% CI

6 Moderately Frail – People need help with all outside activities and with keeping house. Inside, they often have problems with stairs and need help with bathing and might need minimal assistance (cuing, standby) with dressing.

repeating the same question/story and social withdrawal.

In **moderate dementia**, recent memory is very impaired, even though they seemingly can remember their past life events well. They can do personal care with prompting.

In severe dementia, they cannot do personal care without help.

* 1. Canadian Study on Health & Aging, Revised 2008.
2. K. Rockwood et al. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. CMAJ 2005;173:489-495.

Results

Table 1: Clinical characteristics of influenza positive cases enrolled in the SOS Network, 2018-2019 influenza season Burden of Disease (BOD) Cohort

Characteristics	Cases (n=946) n (%)*	42.9 (27.8 – 54.8) c
Age		50.2 (21.1 – 68.6) f
16-49y	176 (18.6)	
50-64y	203 (21.5)	30.6 (6.9 – 48.3) fo
65-74y	207 (21.9)	
75+ y	360 (38.1)	57.6 (43.0 - 68.5) 1
Male	424 (44.8)	121/1218_15
Clinical Frailty Scale (prior to illness)		-12.1 (-131.0 - 43.0
1-3 non-frail	117 (12.4)	68.1(51.6 - 79.0) f
4 pre-frail	175 (18.5)	
5 mildly frail	183 (19.3)	19.0(-34.6 - 51.3)
6-9 moderately to severely frail	17 (1.8)	
Missing	454 (48.0)	All ages, Inf A, A/H1N1, & A/I
Subtype		for: age, pregnancy & current/
	873 (92.3)	Age <65 estimate adjusted
		for age, body mass index
Unknown	(0.0)	categories, pregnancy, and
Strain/lineage		medications use prior to
A/H1N1	439 (46.4)	admission. Age ≥65 estimate
A/H3N2	336 (35.5)	adjusted for age, frailty
A/Unknown subtype	98 (10.4)	score, & current/past
B/VICTORIA		smoker.
B/ Yamagata	43 (4.5)	** Inf B is unadjusted VE
B unknown lineage	10 (1.1)	due to small numbers

nfluenza Vaccine Effectiveness (VE) against nfluenza hospitalization, VE % (95% CI):
42.9 (27.8 – 54.8) overall
50.2 (21.1 – 68.6) for ages <65
30.6 (6.9 – 48.3) for ages 65+
57.6 (43.0 – 68.5) for Influenza A
-12.1 (-131.8 – 45.8) for Influenza B
68.1 (51.6 – 79.0) for A/H1N1
19.0 (-34.6 – 51.3) for A/H3N2
All ages, Inf A, A/H1N1, & A/H3N2 estimates adjusted or: age, pregnancy & current/past smoker.
Age <65 estimate adjusted

	FRAILITGATEGURT				
Outcome	CFS 1-3 Non-Frail N=117	CFS 4 Pre-Frail N=175	CFS 5 Mildly Frail N=183	CFS 6-9 Mod-Severe Frail N=17	p value
Length of stay, mean (SD)	7.4 (7.3)	9.4 (9.4)	12.2 (9.9)	12.9 (12.5)	<0.001
Admitted to ICU, N (%)	11 (9.4%)	18 (10.3%)	12 (6.6%)	1 (5.9%)	0.609
30 day mortality, N (%)	1 (0.9%)	6 (3.4%)	21 (11.5%)	3 (17.6%)	<0.001

Conclusions

- The 2018/2019 influenza season in Canada was a predominantly influenza A season. Among infleunza cases, 439 (46.4%) were A/H1N1 and 336 (35.5%) were A/H3N2
- Influenza activity this season started earlier than usual
- Most patients were 50 years or older; over a third were 75+
- Rates of ICU admission and mechanical ventilation were higher among Influenza A vs. B patients
- Outcomes worsened with increasing frailty
- VE was better against Influenza A vs. B, and for those aged <65 vs. older adults

Discussion

*Proportions are among patients with known information for that variable, missing values were excluded

Figure 1. Epidemiologic curve of influenza A/B during the 2018/2019 season in Canada. *Surveillance was officially started on October 28, 2018



- Due to the lack of immunization registries across Canada, the SOS Network actively verifies influenza immunization status for calculation of influenza VE.
- Vaccine registries would contribute to our ability to generate product-specific VE estimates.
- Reinstituting subtype/lineage testing allows better description of circulating strains and strain-specific VE.
- The SOS Network continues to investigate key risk factors (e.g. frailty) for serious outcomes among older adults hospitalized with acute respiratory illnesses.
- The Public Health Agency of Canada utilizes SOS data for monitoring influenza activity, including burden of disease evaluation, serious outcomes, and influenza VE in hospitalized adults.
- The SOS Network recognizes the ongoing importance of contributing results to the GIHSN.

Contact: Dr. Shelly A. McNeil, <u>Shelly.McNeil@nshealth.ca</u>

Dr. Melissa K. Andrew, <u>Melissa.Andrew@nshealth.ca</u>

Funding: Influenza BOD and VE studies conducted by the CIRN SOS Network are funded by the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC), the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), and by the Foundation for Influenza Epidemiology.